





Knee Cartilage Thickness Change within 5 Years after an ACL Tear:

With and without Reconstructive Surgery

F. Eckstein¹, W. Wirth¹, M. Hudelmaier¹, L.S. Lohmander², R.Frobell²

¹Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria & Chondrometrics GmbH, Ainring, Germany; ² Orthopedics, Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund, Sweden





- An ACL tear (ACLT) is a serious and common knee injury.
- It mainly affects young active adults
- In the long term, the risk of OA incidence is increased:
 - » due to the acute trauma
 - » due to chronic unfavorable biomechanical conditions
- Little is known about the structural changes in cartilage following ACL injury







The KANON Study

- 121 young adults: ACL tear after trauma to uninjured knee
- Primary analysis: comparison of clinical outcomes (KOOS) between patients randomized to:
 - » Early ACL reconstruction and structured rehabilitation or
 - Structured rehabilitation with optional delayed ACL reconstruction
- No significant differences after 2 years (Frobell et al. N Engl. J. Med. 2010)

or after 5 years (Frobell et al. BMJ 2013).





ISMRM, Salt Lake City, April 2013

Eckstein et al. Slide No 3 / Monitor No 94



To determine rate of change in (subregional) cartilagethickness after ACL injury:

- in the early phase (BL → 2 y follow up)
- in an intermediate phase (2 → 5 y follow up)
- stratified by treatment group









Study Design



✓ Demographics

- » 24% female participants
- » Age: 26 ± 5 years
- » BMI: 24.2 \pm 3.0 kg/m²
- ✓ Sagittal FLASH (1.5T)
 1.5mm x 0.29mm x 0.29mm

N= 107 (of 121) subjects with complete data











Methods

- Pair-wise segmentation of articular cartilages (blinding to tpt):
 - » Tibia: Medial & lateral (MT/LT) each 5 subregions
 - » Femur: central 75% of medial & lateral condyle (cMF/cLF)
 - » \rightarrow Medial and lateral compartment (MFTC/LFTC)

each 3 subregions each 8 subregions

Computation of cartilage thickness (ThCtAB)





Descriptive Results





Descriptive Results





Medial femorotibial compartment (MFTC)

- crude test $p \ge 0.18$ t-test
- adjusted p ≥ 0.16 ANCOVA adj. for age, sex & BMI







Stratification / Treatment Group (OV1)

- OV1: Early ACLR >> rehab only / BL \rightarrow Y2 (crude/adj.p=0.02/0.02)
- OV1: Early ACLR (>) rehab only / Y2 \rightarrow Y5 (crude/adj.p \geq 0.09/0.14)
- OV 1: Delayed ACLR (>) rehab both periods (crude/adj. p>=0.08/0.09)





Stratification / Treatment Group (OV16)

- OV16: Early ACLR >> rehab only / BL → Y2 (crude/adj.p=0.04/0.03)
- OV16: Early ACLR = rehab only / Y2 \rightarrow Y5
- OV 16: Delayed ACLR > rehab both periods (crude/adj. p>=0.07/0.04)





Conclusions & Discussion

- ➢ Increase in (MFTC) cartilage thickness observed over early (BL→Y2) and intermediate (Y2→Y5) follow-up
- Reasons for the (MFTC) cartilage thickness may be:
 - Cartilage swelling (early degenerative change)
 - Cartilage hypertrophy (tissue adaptation)
 - Normal growth ? Healthy (young) reference group required!
- ➢ Greater magnitude of subregional cartilage loss in knees with early ACLR than in knees Rehab only (BL→Y2)
- ➤ Trend less clear @ Y2→Y5
- ACLR surgery may induce acute subregional cartilage thickness loss
- Based on the current data, no clinical or structural benefit of ACLR vs. Rehab only @Y2 or Y5







Acknowledgment







- The KANON was funded by: Swedish Research Council, the Medical Faculty of Lund University, Region Skåne, Thelma Zoegas Fund, Stig & Ragna Gorthon Research Foundation, Swedish National Centre for Research in Sports, Crafoord foundation, Tore Nilsson research fund, and Pfizer Global Research.
- Quantitative cartilage analyses were funded as part of NanoDiaRa project (EU 7th framework programme for research, NMP4-LA-2009-228929, <u>http://nanodiara.eu</u>)

